

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/773,031  | 02/05/2004  | Mike Blossfeld       | TRW(BCS)6747        | 5979             |
| 7590 04/07/2005   |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL, & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1111 LEADER BLDG. |             |                      | LEE, KYUNG S        |                  |
| 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE<br>CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1400               |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2832                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany  | 10/773,031   | BLOSSFELD ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit         |  |  |  |  |
|  | Richard K. Lee   | 2832 .           |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/11/   | <u>05</u> .  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This   | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  | •                |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  | •                |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmont(a)  |  |                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/04.  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |                  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/773,031

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 26, 28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (6,204,458).

Chen teaches a switch assembly (please see fig. 5) comprising:

a housing 18 (comprising of 18 and 10);

a first contact 36 with pad portion supported by the housing (fig. 5), and having a connector portion 13 extending outside the housing;

a second contact 46 with a pad portion supported by the housing, and having a connector portion 15 extending outside the housing;

the second contact 46 having a spring portion (between 15 and 46) and an actuator portion (above 36 in fig. 5);

the contacts are normally opened (see fig. 5); and

an actuator portion (of the housing) having a cam portion 58.

Regarding claim 6 please see fig. 5.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2832

3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. in view of Jost et al. (5,963,772).

Chen teaches the claimed invention except for the contact pads being formed with silvernickel and silver-tin for the first and the second pad, respectively.

Jost teaches silver-nickel and silver-tin contact metal for contact welding and erosion rate characteristics. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the contact pads of Chen with the contact pad materials as taught by Jost, since the pads of Jost would provide the device of Chen with better welding and erosion resistance.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al.

The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

#### Double Patenting

4. Claims 1-16, 20-21 and 23-25 of this application conflict with claims 1-4, 6-22 (claim 5 missing) of Application No. 10/320,798. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,031

Art Unit: 2832

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claims 1-16, 20-21 and 23-25 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 6-22 (claim 5 missing) of copending Application No. 10/320,798. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TU & TH-F 5:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,031

Art Unit: 2832

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard K. Lee Examiner Art Unit 2832